

# ULTRASONIC ASSISTED WATER FLOODING

ERFAN MOHAMMADIAN

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the  
Degree of Master of Engineering ( Petroleum)

Faculty of Petroleum And Renewable Energy Engineering (FPREE)

Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER , 2010

## DEDICATION

“To my parents, my sister and my love *Niloofar*.

Your love and support represents the main motivation

To face new challenges and achieving my goals”

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to use this space to express his infinite gratefulness and gratitude to the following people, who made this achievement possible (*if any!*).

Firstly, I would like to give my utmost gratitude to my dear supervisor Prof. Dr. Ahmad Kamal Idris who did not let me walk out of his room without having so many new ideas. I would like to express my appreciation for all the brilliant ideas and kind supports that help me develop a new way of thinking, which surely help me a lot through my future career.

Secondly I would like to say thanks to head of petroleum department A.P. Dr. Radzuan Junin, and head of petroleum laboratories, A.P. Dr. Muhammad Manan, for their unconditional support. I would also like to thank my friend Amin Shirazi for his unconditional friendship throughout this semester, and for happy and difficult moments we spent together in the lab.

My last but most significant words of gratitude go to my lovely fiancé and family. Your love and support have been and continues to be the main motivation for achieving to my goals. I thank *Niloofar Ghahremani* for her patience and also for putting smile on my face while I was tired or dissapointed, and also for encouraging me to continue. To my parents and sister, I owe everything I am. You have given me true riches in love and humbleness.

And last but not the least, to god almighty, who is the last resort any man could have, for all his blessings and putting on my way all the good people mentioned above.

## ABSTRACT

Waterflooding being the most frequently used improved oil recovery methods fails to produce more than 30% of OOIP due to high viscosity of oil and/or high interfacial tension. Therefore chemicals or miscible flooding methods are required to improve the recovery of waterflooding. Another alternative and yet unconventional method is application of ultrasonic waves to waterflooding. Despite more than 40 years of experimental studies, there is lack of fundamental understanding about mechanisms and factors controlling the efficiency of ultrasonic assisted water flooding.

Series of displacement experiments were conducted on unconsolidated sand pack, using kerosene, vaseline and engine oil as non wet phase in the system. 2-16% increase in the recovery was observed as a consequence of sonication. In order to enhance the understanding about contributing mechanisms a series of supplementary (static) experiments were conducted by using ultrasonic bath, including: temperature experiments, emulsification experiment and one phase flow experiment. From the results of those experiments, emulsification and viscosity reduction were identified as main mechanisms contributing in improving the recovery of waterflooding. The outcome of this research is expected to enhance the insight about application of high frequency waves and reducing ambiguities about the mechanisms involved.

## ABSTRAK

Banjiran air sebagai kaedah meningkatkan penghasilan minyak yang paling banyak digunakan telah gagal menghasilkan lebih daripada 30% kandungan minyak asal disebabkan kelikatan minyak yang tinggi dan/atau tegangan permukaan (interfacial tension) yang tinggi. Oleh itu kaedah banjir menggunakan bahan kimia adalah diperlukan untuk meningkatkan penghasilan minyak. Pilihan lain yang ada tetapi tidak biasa digunakan adalah aplikasi gelombang ultrasonik bersama banjiran air. Walaupun telah lebih 40 tahun kajian dan eksperimen dijalankan, masih terdapat kekurangan pemahaman asas tentang mekanisma dan faktor-faktor yang mengawal keberkesanan banjiran air diperkuatkan ultrasonik.

Beberapa siri eksperimen penyesaran telah dijalankan di dalam pek pasir menggunakan minyak tanah, vaseline dan minyak enjin sebagai fasa tidak basah (non wet phase) di dalam sistem. Kenaikan 2-16% dalam perolehan minyak telah diperolehi kesan daripada penggunaan sonik. Untuk mempertingkatkan lagi pemahaman tentang mekanisma yang terbabit, satu siri eksperimen statik telah dilakukan menggunakan mandian ultrasonik, termasuk: eksperimen suhu, eksperimen emulsi dan daneksperimen satu fasa. Daripada keputusan yang diperolehi, emulsi dan penurunan kelikatan telah dikenalpasti sebagai mekanisma utama menyumbang kepada peningkatan perolehan minyak. Hasil kajian ini dijangka akan menambah pengetahuan dalam aplikasi gelombang berfrekuensi tinggi dan menurunkan keraguan tentang mekanisma yang terbabit.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	II
	DEDICATION	III
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	IV
	ABSTRACT	VI
	ABSTRAK	VII
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	VIII
	LIST OF TABLES	XI
	LIST OF FIGURES	XIV
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	XV
	LIST OF APPENDICES	XVI
		1
1	INTRODCUTION	1
	1.1 Seismic Waves	4
	1.2 Problem Statement (Research Questions)	7
	1.3 Research Objectives	8
	1.4 Research Scope	8
	1.5 Significance Of Findings	9
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	10
	2.1.Improved Oil Recovery	11
	2.1.1 Mobility Ratio	12

2.1.2	Capillary Number	13
2.1.3	Conventional EOR Methods	14
2.1.4	Unconventional EOR Methods	15
2.2	Previous Works	20
2.2.1	Investigations on Wave Properties	21
2.2.2	Investigations on Fluid Properties	24
2.2.3	Investigation on Rock Properties	28
2.2.4	Investigations on Damage Removal	30
2.2.5	Other Mechanisms of Ultrasonic Waves	31
2.2.6	Reviews on Ultrasonic Applications	35
2.2.7	Penetration of Waves	36
2.2.8	Range of Investigated Parameters	36
2.3	Chapter Summary	37
3	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	38
3.1	Research Apparatus and Material	38
3.1.1	Sand-pack	39
3.1.2	Oil	39
3.1.3	Brine	40
3.1.4	Ultrasonic generator	41
3.1.5	Pump	44
3.2	Basic Parameters Measurement	44
3.2.1	Viscosity Measurement	44
3.2.2	Interfacial Tension Measurement	46
3.2.3	Porosity Measurement	46
3.2.4	Permeability Measurement	46
3.3	Experimental Procedure	47
3.3.1	Displacement Tests	47
3.3.2	Supplementary Experiments	48
4	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	52
4.1	Displacement (dynamic) Experiments	53
4.1.1	Oil Recovery Experiments	53
4.1.2	One Phase Flow Experiment	64
4.2	Supplementary (static) Experiments	69



4.2.1 Temperature Effects Experiment	69
4.2.2 Emulsification Experiments	77
4.3 Chapter Summery	82
<b>5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>84</b>
5.1 Conclusions	84
5.2 Recommendation	85
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>86</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

No	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Conventional EOR methods and their mechanism	15
3. 1	Properties of the sandpack	39
3.2	Properties of fluid used to represent oil in the system	40
3.3	Conductivity of various materials	40
3.4	Properties of Brine	41
4.1	IFT reduction as a result of temperature rise.	72
4. 2	Comparison between viscosity ratio of fluids at 27° C and 32° C	76
III.1	Temperature rise at any time for engine oil, kerosene and vaseline	97
III.2	Viscosity reduction of oil calculated from Glaso's correlation	98
III.3	Pressure variation versus time at different intensitie	99
IV.1	Summary of previous works.	101

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>No</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Bypassed oil and residual oil due to fingering	12
2.2	Capillary number versus residual oil saturation	14
2.3	Amplitude, wave length and frequency of waves	18
2.4	Emulsification caused by high intensity ultrasonic waves	31
2.5	(a) Oil droplets before sonication. (b) Coalescence of oil droplets after ultrasonic excitation.	32
2.6	Ultrasonic (Cavitation) degassing effect after 5 minutes of radiation.	34
3.1	Dynamic (flooding) experiments rig setup	42
3.2	Flooding (displacement) setup	43
3.3	Branson ultrasonic bath	43
3.4	Flow chart of displacement experiments	49
3.5	Flow chart of supplementary (static) experiments	50
4.1	Kerosene recovery by straight waterflooding	56

4.2	Increase in recovery of kerosene recovery as result of ultrasonics	56
4.3	Recovery of kerosene versus time for both case of straight and sonicated waterflooding	57
4.4	Recovery of vaseline versus time, straight waterflooding	59
4.5	Sonicated waterflooding recovery versus time for vaseline	59
4.6	Recovery of vaseline versus time for sonicated and straight water flooding	60
4.7	Recovery of engine oil versus time, straight waterflooding	61
4.8	Sonicated waterflooding recovery versus time for engine oil	63
4.9	Total recovery of sonication and waterflooding	63
4.10	Pressure versus time for 2.4 Watt/cm <sup>2</sup>	65
4.11	Pressure versus time for 4.2 Watt/cm <sup>2</sup>	66
4.12	Pressure versus time for 7.2 Watt/cm <sup>2</sup>	66
4.13	Pressure versus time for 9.6 Watt/cm <sup>2</sup>	67
4.14	Pressure versus time for range of intensities	68
4.15	Temperature experiment at 100, 200,300 and 400 watts	71
4.16	Temperature vs. time for kerosene, vaseline and SAE10	71
4.17	Reduction in viscosity of kerosene	74
4.18	Reduction in viscosity of vaseline	74
4.19	Reduction in viscosity of SAE-10	75
4.20	Reduction in viscosity of water	75
4.21	Kerosene emulsification experiment	78

4.22	Formation of bubbles of kerosene in the water	79
4.23	Vaseline emulsification test	79
4.24	SAE-10 emulsification test	80
4.25	Deposition of paraffin named as the 'substance'	81
4.26	No change was observed in engine oil as a result of heating	82
I. 1	Sand sieves	92

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$N_{ca}$	-	Capillary number
$V$	-	Velocity of fluids
$V$	-	Velocity of waves
$\Sigma$	-	Interfacial tension
$\mu_w$	-	Viscosity of water
$\mu_o$	-	Viscosity of oil
$kr_w$	-	Relative permeability to water
$kr_o$	-	Relative permeability to oil
$F$	-	Frequency of waves
$T$	-	Period of waves
$\Lambda$	-	Wave length
$M$	-	Mobility ratio
$M_{sc}$	-	Critical mobility ratio
$\Theta$	-	Angle between
$R$	-	Radius of pore throat
$L$	-	Length of the sample
$A$	-	Area of sand pack
$P$	-	Density of fluids
$G$	-	Gravity acceleration
$H$	-	Thickness of the formation
$A$	-	Vibration amplitude
$T$	-	Temperature
$T_r$	-	Reduced temperature
$P$	-	Pressure
$Y$	-	Salinity of brine

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
I	Sand Pack Preparation	91
II	Porosity And Absolute Permeability Measurement	93
III	Values Of Static Experiments	96
IV	Summary of Previous Works	100

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODCUTION**

When the natural drive mechanism of a reservoir is not sufficient to produce more oil, energy must be added to the reservoir to produce additional oil (Teknica, 2001). The energy is introduced to the reservoir in forms of waterflooding or gas injection. The added energy facilitates the movement of oil, providing additional recovery at improved rate. Due to availability, waterflooding has been and continues to be very successful and improves the recovery of oil from known reservoirs (Carcoana, 1992). Thomas (1989) postulated that factors such as reservoir geometry, rock properties (i.e. porosity, permeability, clay content, net thickness and fluid saturations), reservoir depth, lithology and fluid properties should be considered in order to select a reservoir as a proper candidate for waterflooding. Primary and secondary stages however are not capable of producing more than one-third of original oil in place (Ahmad, 2000; Carcoana, 1992; Teknica, 2001). The failure of waterflooding to recover the remained oil (which is about 70% of OOIP) can be attributed to number of reasons for instance: high interfacial tension, adverse mobility ratio heterogeneity.

Mobility ratio more than one represents existence of fingering in the displacing front. This may be resulted either from reduction in relative permeability of rock to the oil, high viscosities of oil or simultaneous effect of both. For light oil



reservoirs efficiency of waterflooding is around 20 to 40% of OOIP and mobility control is not critical since, viscosity difference between the fluid is not that large; however in heavy oil reservoirs viscosity difference of injected water and oil is large, and water tends to channel and finger through the reservoir and leave large area of the reservoir intact. Despite all poor recoveries predicted theoretically, there have been numerous reports of heavy oil waterflooding performed in the literature (Mai and Kantzas, 2010; Ahmadloo *et al.*, 2010). It should be noted that, in all cases of waterflooding of heavy oil reservoirs, despite highly adverse mobility ratios of waterflooding some oil were still recovered. Although waterflooding of heavy oil reservoirs are performed regularly in western Canada, there is a surprising lack of information regarding the mechanisms by which water can recover viscous oil (Mai & Kantzas, 2010). Therefore increasing the recovery of waterflooding in heavy oil reservoirs is of great importance.

Entrapment of the remaining oil in the interstices or pores of the rock is mainly due to capillary forces which exist between two immiscible phases i.e. high interfacial tension (Melrose & Brander 1974; Carcoana 1992). Interactions between capillary and viscous forces govern phase trapping and mobilization of non-wetting phase. In the reservoir the discontinuous trapped phase (i.e. oil) cannot be mobilized unless capillary force can be exceeded by viscous forces. Dimensionless index called ‘capillary number’ was introduced to express the ratio of viscous to capillary forces. It is in direct relationship with microscopic displacement efficiency and therefore recovery (Lake, 1996), the most common type of capillary number is the one proposed by (Saffman & Taylor, 1958):

$$N_{ca} = \frac{v\mu}{\sigma} \quad (1-1)$$

For normal waterflooding the capillary number is in order of  $10^{-7}$  to  $10^{-6}$ . Manipulating interfacial tension is the best way to achieve effective capillary numbers or in the other word improved recovery. Using chemical and miscible

flooding has been known as conventional method to increase the recovery by reduction or elimination of interfacial tension.

Elimination of capillary force may be achieved through use of chemicals. This methods of enhanced oil recovery are characterized by the addition of chemicals to water (alkaline, surfactant, polymer or combination of each) in order to generate fluid properties or interfacial conditions that are more favorable for oil displacement. Both displacement and sweep efficiency are affected by chemicals (Green, 1998). Although just like any other method they are suffering from some drawbacks. The main issue of utilizing chemicals, which are often costly materials, is adsorbition. Besides partial sweeping of a reservoir due to gravity segregation, environmental concerns, injectivity issues and incompatibility with rock and fluid are common problems associated with using chemicals (Carcoana, 1992).

CO<sub>2</sub> flooding is said to be the most promising method among miscible flooding methods (Teknica, 2001). It eliminates interfacial tension by creating miscibility between two fluids if required conditions of miscibility can be achieved (minimum miscibility pressure). Unlike chemicals it only improves displacement efficiency. The dominant issue concerning use of CO<sub>2</sub> is its mobility control i.e. fingering. In addition to that availability of CO<sub>2</sub>, transportation, loss of miscibility due to injectivity problems and formation of hydrates in some cases are the problems of CO<sub>2</sub> miscible flooding (Donaldson, 1985). Kleppe (2008) thoroughly explained the complications of implementing CO<sub>2</sub> in the North Sea reservoirs.

Thermal recovery is the principal method for recovery of heavy oils. It is introduced to reservoir in forms of hot water, steam injection and in-situ combustion. The most dominant mechanisms by which thermal methods increase the recovery is reduction of viscosity and lowering interfacial tension which is resulted from increasing the temperature of the reservoir. Cyclic steam simulation, to a large degree, suffers from bypassing and channeling. On the other hand in-situ combustion is highly sensitive to crude oil and rock properties (Guo, 2009). The failure of most

in-situ combustion field operations is due to difficulties in controlling combustion front advancement. Steam flooding, which is the most efficient method among thermal methods, is suffering from channeling and gravity override which results in early breakthrough (Teknica, 2009).

In all the above mentioned enhanced oil recovery methods, means of delivering the energy to the reservoir is via hydraulic fluid. Therefore they are suffering from problems such as bypassing and channeling as fluids always choose the path of the least resistance. Hence, many EOR methods fail in heterogeneous formations, leaving large volumes of oil behind.

## **1.1 Seismic Waves**

Seismic waves are waves of energy that passes through the earth, for instance as a result of an earthquake, explosion, or some other process that emits low-frequency acoustic energy. Acoustic energy propagates in all directions, and is unaffected by the pore network or permeability of the formation (Hamida, 2006). Thus, it is possible to influence every point in the reservoir simultaneously, and obtain the maximum efficiency at all times (Beresnev and Johnson. 2005). Seismic waves have been used in petroleum industry in several applications including well logging, well stimulation, and as a method for increasing the recovery of oil for more than forty years (Westermarck, 2001). High frequency (ultrasonic) is a type of wave having a frequency above 20 *KHz*.

Efforts are made to develop alternative methods to conventional methods due to the fact that conventional methods may not be applied to all type of reservoirs, as each of them has its own limitation in terms of type of rock, fluid or conditions of application. One of these alternatives is the application of ultrasonic waves to the

reservoirs. Further Advantages of application of ultrasonic waves over conventional methods are: Applicability without interrupting production, Elimination the need for chemicals and Possibility of influencing all point of reservoir (Hamida, 2006).

Nikolaevskiy (1996) explained that fluid-bearing sands can change the frequencies of seismic waves. Ultrasonic waves are generated as a result of low-frequency oscillation in porous media. Moreover, high frequency waves have short wavelengths and significantly contribute to mechanical perturbation at the pore scale; this dominant frequency depends on the size and the compactness of grains and the fluid saturation. High wavelength elastic vibration, on the other hand, only influences the bulk behavior of the porous solid. Consequently, although ultrasonic stimulation may be considered a “secondary” driving mechanism, it still has a great impact on the overall performance of field applications (Hamida, 2006). Mechanisms by which seismic waves affect the recovery of oil are explained by various researchers:

- Propagation of waves in medium make particles vibrates alternatively and causes the stress and acoustic pressure to change periodically (Guo et al. 2009).
- When the compression occurs, the bubbles implode, as a result, tremendous pressures are produced that may reach several thousand atmospheres. The induced pressure gradient can contribute in percolation of oil bubbles, increasing capillary rise and increasing the recovery. This effect is called cavitation (Duhon & Campel, 1965; Hamida, 2006; Naderi and Babadagli, 2008).
- Reduction of capillary forces due to the destruction of surface films generated across pore boundaries (Hamida, 2005; Amro, 2007; Cindoha, 2007).

- Mechanical deformation of the pore walls, and consequently changes in permeability and porosity (Ariadji, 2005; Cindoha, 2007).
- Increase in relative permeability of the phases. (Beresnev & Johnson, 1994) (Hamida, 2005).
- Heating the oil, causing its viscosity to decrease. (Duhon &Campel, 1965; Ariadji, 2005; Cindoha, 2007; Guo et al., 2009).

Above mention mechanisms of ultrasonic waves in reduction of viscosity and interfacial tension make it a good option to be applied in combination with water flooding to improve oil recovery.

In a pioneering research Duhon & Campbell (1965) conducted research on applications of ultrasonic wave to a waterflooding on the sandstone cores. Their research is one of the most comprehensive investigations to date, although it has some deficiencies. They conduct their experiments using ultrasound waves in range of 10 - 55 *KHz*, to long and short cores, using three different fluids to investigate the effects of viscosity by using ultrasound waves. They concluded that lower frequencies result in better recoveries due to lower attenuation. They also attributed increase in the recovery to cavitation phenomena which resulted from presence of gas bubbles in the liquid. This conclusion was not totally convincing as they did not design any other experiment to investigate recovery without cavitation mechanism to confirm their theory. They used core test fluid, diesel oil and engine oil to represent oil in the system and concluded that recovery is better in less viscous fluid being core test fluid.

Series of experiments were conducted by Hamida & Babadagli (2005-2006) on the effects of ultrasonic waves on capillary displacement as well as miscible and immiscible displacement in porous media. More details of their work are provided in chapter two of this research.

Amro *et al.* (2007) conducted experiments to investigate the affectivity of ultrasound waves on mobilizing of additional oil. The experiments were conducted on Berea sandstone and using Saudi crude oil having the viscosity of 13-16 cp. The cores were tested horizontally and vertically to represent the effects of gravity on oil recovery in presence of ultrasound waves operating at the frequency of 15 KHz and intensity of 300 watts. They also concluded that ultrasonic waterflooding is more effective in depleted reservoirs.

There has been an increasing interest in the application of ultrasonic to waterflooding in heavy oil around the world, especially in China and Canada. Guo, (2009) explained mechanisms by which ultrasound waves improve recovery. They also conduct experiments to show effects of ultrasonic waves on viscosity and realize that the viscosity is reduced due to exposure to ultrasound waves but this effect was temporary.

## **1.2 Problem Statement (Research Questions)**

The research will be answering following questions:

1. How much is the recovery of waterflooding with or without ultrasonic stimulation?
2. How do different oils (in term of viscosity) respond to ultrasonic waves?

3. What are the mechanisms of ultrasound which result in improvement of flow?

### **1.3 Research Objectives**

The objectives of this research are:

1. To measure recovery of oil by waterflooding in presence of sonic waves (ultrasonic assisted waterflooding) and compare it to straight waterflooding flooding (without ultrasonic).
2. To investigate effect of different viscosities of oil on ultrasonic recovery.
3. To find mechanisms of ultrasonic by which recovery is improved.

### **1.4 Research Scope**

This research investigates the effects of ultra sonic waves on the recovery of oil in a sand pack model. The focus of study will be waterflooding of viscous oil in presence of ultrasonic waves in low capillary numbers. Sand pack is 92cm long by 3.8cm in diameter. The porosity is around 33 and the permeability is around 4 Darcy. An ultra sound generator with 40 *KHz* frequency and power output of 100-500 W/cm<sup>3</sup> is manipulated according to the type of experiments. The working fluids are:

kerosene (viscosity of less than 1 cp), vaseline (22 cp) and engine oil (243 cp) and brine with 3% (30000 ppm) NaCl.

### **1.5 Significance of Findings**

The results of displacement experiments are expected to quantify the effects of ultrasonic waves on recovery of different fluids. The static experiments will be helpful in identification of contributing mechanisms in ultrasonic applications. Therefore this study seeks to obtain more insight about mechanisms by which ultrasonic waves affects rock and fluid properties; this eventually helps in clarifying the ambiguities which hamper the larger scale applications of ultrasonic waves in the industry.



## REFERENCES

Aarts, A., Ooms, G. and Bill, K. (1998). Enhancement of Liquid Flow Through a Porous Medium by Ultrasonic Radiation. *SPE Journal*: Dec.1999 . 321.

Abramov (2006). *No. Paten 3,990,512*. Russia. US Patents

Ahmad, T. (2000). *Reservoir Engineering Handbook*. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Houston, Texas: Gulf Publishing Company.

Ahmadloo, F. S., Asghari, K. S. and Renouf, G. S. (2010). A New Diagnostic Tool for Performance Evaluation of Heavy Oil Waterfloods: Case Study of Western Canadian Heavy Oil Reservoirs. *Western North America Regional Meeting*. California. SPE No. 133407

Amro, M., Al-Mobarky, M. and Al-Homadhi. (2007). Improved Oil Recovery by Application of Ultrasound Waves to Waterflooding. *SPE Middle East Oil & Gas Show*. Dec, 2007. Bahrain. No.105370

Ariadji, T. B. (2005). Effect of Vibration on Rock and Fluid Properties: On Seeking the Vibroseismic Technology. *Asia Pacific oil And Gas Conference*. Dec 2005 Jakarta, Indonesia. SPE No.13452

Barabanov, V. L., Grinevskiy, A. O., Kissin, I. G. and Nikolayev. (1987). Some Effects of Imposed Seismic Vibrations On A Water-saturated Medium, Comparison with Effects of Distant Earthquakes: *Transactions*. 62-65. *USSR Academy of science earth science section*.

Barabanov, V. and Nikolaevskiy. (2001). Seismic Action on Oil Reservoirs. *International Symposium On Nonlinear Acoustics*. ISNA-16. Moscow

Beresnev, I. A. (2005). Elastic Waves Push Organic Fluids From Reservoir Rock. *Geophysical Research Letters* 32.

Beresnev, I., and Johnson, P. (1994). Elastic Stimulation Of Oil Production: A Review of Methods and Results. *Journal Of Geophysics* **59(6)**: 1000-1017.

Biot, A. (1965). Theory of Propagation of Elastic Waves in a Fluid-Saturated:Low-frequency Range,. *Journal of Acoustic society of America* , 168-178.

Bodine, A., Nuys, V. and Calif. (1955).2,700,422.USA: US Patent

Brenen, C.E. (1995). *Cavitation and bubble dynamics*, Oxford: Oxford university press.

Carcoana, A. (1992). *Applied Enhanced Oil Recovery*.(1<sup>st</sup> ed.).Canada: Simon and Schster company.

Chen, W. I. (1969). *Influence of Ultrasonic Energy upon the Rate of Flow of Liquids through Porous Media*. P.h.D thesis. West Virginia University,USA.

Cherskiy, N. E. (1977). The Effect Of Ultrasound On Permeability Of Rocks To Water.*U.S.S.R Academy of science*. Earth Science Sections. 232

Cidoncha, J. (2007). Application of Acoustic Waves for Reservoir Stimulation. *SPE conference*. Mexico: SPE 108643.

Danesh, A. (1998). *PVT and Phase Behaviour of Petroleum Fluids*. Edinburgh,Scotland: Herriot-watt university.

Donaldson, E. and Chilingarian, G, V. (1985). *Enhanced Oil Recovery*.(1st ed).NewYork: Elsevier

Duhon, R. D., Campbell, J. (1965). The Effect of Ultrasonic Energy on the Flow of Fluids in Porous Media. *2nd Annual Eastern Regional Meeting of SPE/AIME*. charlston: SPE 1316.

Economides, N., and Thomas, A. (1984). Determination Of Ultimate Sweep Efficiency In A Linear Water Flooding. *SPE journal*. 5 (2). 96-105

Ellingsen, O(1989). *No. Paten 4,884,634*. USA

Glaso, O.(1980). Generalized Pressure, Volume, Temperature Correlation. *JPT* . 785-795.

Graue, E. B., Johannesen, A. (2007). Mobilization of Remaining Oil—Emphasis on Capillary Number and Wettability. *International Oil Conference and Exhibition*. Mexico. 2008. SPE 108724

Guo, X. (2009). High Frequency Vibration Recovery Enhancement Technology in the Heavy Oil fields in China. *SPE International Thermal Operations and Heavy Oil Symposium and Western Regional Meeting*. California, U.S.A .SPE 89656

Hamida. (2006a). Effect Of Ultrasonic Waves on Immiscible and Miscible Displacement In Porous Media. *Master thesis* . University of Alberta, Canada.

Hamida, T. (2006b). The Influence of Ultrasonic Energy on Capillary Fluid Displacement. *Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology*. 45:16-19.Feb 2006

Hamida, T. And Babadagli, T. (2005.). Effect of Ultrasonic Waves on the Capillary-Imbibition Recovery of Oil. *Asia Pacific Oil & Gas Conference*. Alberta: SPE 92124.

Hamida.T and Babadagli, T. (2008). Effects of Ultrasonic Waves On The Interfacial Forces Between Oil and Water. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry* .15 (2008): 274-278.

Hielscher. *Hielscher Ultrasonic Equipments*.Germany.2010

Huh, C. (2006). Improved Oil Recovery by Seismic Vibration: A Preliminary Assessment of Possible Mechanism. *International Oil Conference*. Mexico, 2006 *SPE 103870*.

Iassonov, P. and Beresnev I, A. (2008). Mobilization of Entrapped Organic Fluids by Elastic Waves and Vibrations. *SPE journal*.

Idris. A. K, Some Effects of Wettability and Fluid Properties on Immiscible Displacement in Porous Media, *Phd Thesis*, Imperial College,UK, 1990.

Jackson, S. and Majer, E. (2000). *Advances in Seismic Stimulation Technologies*. 2001: Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.

C.J. Kittel (1949). Interpretation of the Thermal Conductivity of Glasses. *Journal of Physics*. **75**: 972.

Kouznetsov, O. E. (1998). Improved Oil Recovery by Application of Vibro-Energy to Waterflooded Sandstones. *Journal Of Petroleum Science*.19(3-4): 191-200

Kuris, A. (1976). *No. Paten 3,990,512*. California: US Patent.

Lake. (1990). *a Niche For Enhaced Oil Recovery*. Schlumberger.

Langston, L. V. (2003). *Secondary Recovery. The Lease Pumper's Handbook*. U.S.A.

Mai, A. and Kantzas, A. (2010). Mechanisms of Heavy Oil Recovery by Low Rate waterflooding. *JCPT* , 49 (3).

Meehan, D. N., “A Correlation for Water Compressibility,” *Petroleum Engineer* November 1980. 125–126.

Melrose, J. C. and Brandner, C. F. (1974). Waterflooding, Role of Capillary Forces in Determining Microscopic Displacement Efficiency for Oil Recovery. *Jorunal of Canadian Petroleum* , 74-04-05.

Morrow, N. R. and Valat, M. (1996). Effect of Brine Composition on Recovery of an Alaskan Crude Oil by Waterflooding. *47th Annual Technical Meeting*. Alberta, Canada.

Naderi, K., and Babadagli, T. (2008). Effect of Ultrasonic Intensity and Frequency on Oil/Heavy-Oil Recovery from Different Wettability Rocks. *SPE International Thermal Operations and Heavy Oil Symposium*. Calgary, Alberta.

Najafi, I. (2010). A Mathematical Analysis of the Mechanism of Ultrasonic Induced Fluid Percolation in Porous Media. *SPE Annual Technical Conference And Exhibition*. Florance, Italy, 2010. SPE 141126

Nikolaevskiy. (1989). Mechanism and Dominant Frequencies of Vibrational Enhancement of Yield of Oil Pools. *the USSR Academy of Sciences ,Earth Science Sections*. 570-575.

Nikolaevskiy, V. N. (1996). Residual Oil Reservoir Recovery With Seismic Variation. *SPE Production and Facilitie*.SPE 29155-PA.

Pechkov, A. K. (1993). *No. Paten 5,184,678.California: US patent*

Peter, M. R., and Abdel-Fattah, A. I. (2009). Seismic Stress Stimulation Mobilizes Colloids Trapped in a Porous Rock. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* .

Poesio, P. and Ooms, G. (2002). An Investigation of the Influence of Acoustic Waves On the Liquid Flow Through a Porous Material. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*,2002. 2019-2025

Saffman, P. G. and Taylor, G. (1958). The Penetration of a Fluid into a Porous Medium or Hele-Shaw Cell Containing a More Viscous Fluid. *Proc. Royal Soc,London* .

Simkin, E. (1991). Advanced Vibroseismic Technique For Waterflooded Reservoir Stimulation, Mechanims and Field Tests results. *6th European IOR Symposium*.Stavenger,Norway

Stalkup, F.I. (1984). Recovery by Miscible Displacement, *SPE Monograph Series*, Richardson, TX, p.3.

Sutton, R. P., and Farshad, F. (1984). Evaluation of Empirically Derived PVT Properties for Gulf of Mexico Crude Oils. *59th Annual Technical Conference*. Houston, Texas.

Teknica. (2001). *Enhanced Oil Recovery*. Calgary: Teknica petroleum service Ltd.

Thomas, C. E. (1989). *Reservoir Engineering Handbook*. Dallas.

Venkitaraman, A. P. (1995). Ultrasonic Removal of Near Wellbore Damage Caused by Fines and Mud Solids. *SPE Drilling & Completion*.10(3):193-197.

Westermarck. (2001). Using Downhole Vibration Stimulation for Enhanced Oil Recovery. *SPE Production And Operation Symposium*.2001,Oklahoma. SPE No. :67303

Zhang, W., Li, X. (1998). Optimum Source Frequency in Vibration Production Technology. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*. 212(1):1759-1774